

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/710,493	07/15/2004	Michael J. Oister	4310 <b>3</b> 830018.US1	4310%830018.US1 4492	
26582 75	590 05/12/2006		EXAMINER		
HOLLAND & HART, LLP			LEGESSE, NINI F		
P.O BOX 8749 DENVER, CO			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3711		
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant/o)				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/710,493	OISTER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Nini F. Legesse	3711				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	S			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failt Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLECTION OF THE MAILING DEPLECTION OF	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI  136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON  136(a). The come Alexander of the come and the come alexander of the come alexander of the come alexander of the community of the communit	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this commur BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•				
111	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ja	ulv 2004					
2a)□	<u></u>	<del> </del>					
/	, <del></del>						
٥/ك	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dionoci4	·	ex parte Quayro, 1000 C.E	7. 11, 400 0.0. 210.				
	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	tion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· • -		• •			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:		} 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been	received in this National Stac	је			
	application from the International Burea						
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.				
	·						
Attachmer	it(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	• —	Summary (PTO-413)				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· \			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/06/04</u> .	5)	·	1			
. upc				_			

**Art Unit: 3711** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the recited feature in claim 5 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. In addition, Applicant needs to show how and where the goal lamp is attached to the goal frame.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3711

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The sidewalls in the instant application do not appear to indicate any gap. Therefore, it is not clear to the examiner as what is being claimed.

Claims 11, 15, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is n

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. the expression "superstructure" in line 2 of claim 12 does not define any structure and accordingly it does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear as to which claim this claim is to depend form.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 3711

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 11, 15, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. How and where is the goal lamp attached to the device and how does it work?

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 – 4 and 7 - 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cohen (US Patent No. 3,940,135).

Regarding claims 1 and 2, Cohen discloses a hokey game (see Fig. 1) as claimed comprising a playing surfaces (12), flat side wall (see Fig. 2), translucent material (see column 1, lines 43-45).

Regarding claims 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, and 10, the rubber cushion (27) of the Cohen device reads in all these claims. This cushion has clearly multiple sections and each side could be considered as sidewall extensions.

**Art Unit: 3711** 

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12, 13, 14, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen in view of Bohaski et al. (US Patent No. 4,872,679).

Cohen discloses the invention as recited above but fails to include a scoreboard attached to a superstructure as claimed. However Bohaski discloses a scoreboard structure as claimed (see Fig. 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the Cohen device with a scoreboard structure as taught by Bohaski in order to monitor the score of the players.

Claims 11, 15, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of Dennison (US Patent No. 3,228,688).

The references as applied to claim 12 fail to disclose a goal lamp and a light circuit. Dennison is a game device that teaches the use of lamps (for example, 150, 91) and light circuit (see Fig. 6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the Cohen device with lamps and circuit as taught by Dennison in order to provide an automatically scoring means to the device.

# Page 6

#### **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nini F. Legesse whose telephone number is (571) 272-4412. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Kim can be reached on (571) 272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mini F. Legesse
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3711